UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,925	11/17/2005	Laurent Teyssedre	275308US0PCT	9540
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/542,925	TEYSSEDRE, LAURENT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ELIZABETH A. BOLDEN	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceedable and acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/05, 10/17/05, 12/15/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDSs submitted 21 July 2005, 17 October 2005, and 15 December 2006 have been considered by the Examiner.

Examiner's Comment

In claims 2, 3, 7, and 11, the claims refer to preferable ranges, beyond the broader range. These preferable ranges are not given any weight. Should the applicant want protection for those ranges the ranges should be claimed separately.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stotzel et al., U.S. Patent 5,656,560 in view of Stachowiak, U.S. Patent 6,475,626.

Stotzel et al. teach a grey glass composition having overlapping ranges of components with instant claims 1, 4-7, and 9. See Abstract of Stotzel et al., column1, lines 5-17, and column 3, lines 10-20. Overlapping ranges have been held to establish prima facie obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05. Stotzel et al. teach that the grey soda-lime silicate glass is made by the float method. See Abstract of Stotzel et al. and column2, lines 14-23. Stotzel et al. further teaches that the grey flat glass is building glass. See column 1, lines 18-20.

Stotzel et al. fail to teach that the glass is thermally toughened, used as a laminate or has a metal oxide film for IR reflection.

Stachowiak teaches that grey glasses are used as substrates for coated articles that include having IR reflecting layers. See column 3, lines 39-48, and column 4, lines 19-43. Stachowiak also teaches that the glass sheet is from 1 to 12 mm thick. See column 4, lines 21-23. Stachowiak further teaches that the glass substrate can be thermally treated, including thermal tempering or toughening. See column 4, lines 1-10.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a coated grey soda-lime silicate glass of Stotzel et al. as suggested by Stachowiak because the resultant glass would have the superior IR reflecting optical properties.

One of ordinary skill in the art would expect that a glass with overlapping compositional ranges would have the properties as recited in claims 1-5 and 11.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The further limitation of requiring the gray soda-lime silicate glass having the specific total iron oxide, cobalt oxide, and nickel oxide to not contain any selenium or manganese oxide renders this claim allowable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH A. BOLDEN whose telephone number is (571)272-1363. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,925 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JERRY LORENGO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Elizabeth A. Bolden Examiner Art Unit 1793

EAB 23 September 2008